cator Ref. Impact Ar			sults ain		Indicator	Units of Measure and Equation (How exactly will the indicator be measured)	Indicator Source Reference	Disaggregation (How do we plan to break down the info)	Unit of Analysis	Prod Producer Unit of Smallholders	lucer category Producer Unit of Medium farms	/ Large Farms	Frequency of Data Collection	Sources of Data
1.1 Organisatio Scope & Re		Imp (vis		Increased volume of Better Cotton	Volume Better Cotton production	Quantity of Better Cotton lint produced (in MT)		Country, State/Province		x	х	x	Annual	Better Cotton records
1.2 Organisatio Scope & Re		Imp (vis		Increased percent of global production is Better Cotton	Percent of global production that is Better Cotton	Volume of Better Cotton lint / Volume of global cotton produced				x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton records, ICA0 statistics https://www.icac.org/Publ ations/PastIssues?Id=81
1.3 Organisatio Scope & Re		n Imp (visi		Increased uptake volume	RB Uptake volume	Better Cotton declared by Retailers and Brads (RB) members (in MT)							Annual	Better Cotton records
1.4 Organisatio Scope & Re	ach Reach			Increased percent of global production is sourced as Better Cotton	RB Uptake as percent of global production	Better Cotton declared by RB members / global cotton produced							Annual	Better Cotton records, ICA statistics https://www.icac.org/Publ ations/PastIssues?Id=81
1.5 Organisatio Scope & Re		Imp (visi		Increased number of Licensed Better Cotton farmers	# Licensed Better Cotton Farmers	Farmers		Gender		x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton records
1.6 Organisatio Scope & Re		Out	tput		# countries participating in Better Cotton	# of countries operating BCSS or benchmarked equivalent programme		BCCS, Benchmark		x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Programme records
1.7 Organisatio Scope & Re		Out	tput		# farmers trained	# farmers that attended trainings		Gender, topic of training		x			Annual	Better Cotton Results Indicators
1.8 Organisatio Scope & Re		Out	tput		# workers trained	# workers that attended trained		Gender, topic of training		x			Annual	Better Cotton RIs
1.9 Organisatio Scope & Re		n Out	tput	Increased membership numbers	# Better Cotton Members	# Members		Member type					Annual	Better Cotton membership records
2.1 Climate Ch	ange Climate Ch Mitigation	ange Imp		Reduced greenhouse gas emissions intensity	Greenhouse Gas emissions per tonne of Better Cotton produced	kg CO ₂ eq. / kg of Better Cotton lint produced	Delta (7)	Country	Producer Unit	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Results Indicators, external modeli some additional data from Better Cotton Producers collected though ad-hoc surveys
2.2 Climate Ch	ange Climate Ch Adaptation	ange Imp	pact		See Resilience indicator (4.6)	Sub-area of the Livelihoods Resilience indicator								Surveys
3.1 Pesticides	Crop Prote	ction Out	tcome	Reduced reliance on synthetic pesticides for crop protection	Volume of pesticide active ingredient applied	kg or l pesticide active ingredient / ha harvested area		Active Ingredient, pesticide type (e.g. herbicide, insecticide), pesticide family (e.g. peopics)	Farm	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators
3.2 Pesticides	Crop Prote	ction Out		Reduction of toxic load from use of pesticides	Toxic Load of pesticide active ingredient applied	toxic load / ha harvested area	Delta (2)		Farm	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators, annual evaluati of pesticides active ingredients by external pesticide expert
3.3 Pesticides	HHPs	Out		Reduction in use of highly hazardous pesticides (HHPs)	Volume of highly hazardous pesticides (HHPs) applied	HHP applied / ha harvested area	Delta (1)	HHP, HHP category	Farm	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators
3.4 Pesticides	HHPs	Out		Reduction in proportion of Better Cotton Farmers using highly hazardous pesticides (HHPs)	% of Better Cotton Farmers using highly hazardous pesticides (HHPs)	Better Cotton Farmers using HHPs / Total number of Better Cotton Farmers	Delta (1) - modified	HHP, HHP category	Farm	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators

3.5 Pesticides	Crop Protection	Outcome	Increased farmer adoption of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) practices	% farmers adopting locally relevant IPM practices	Practices adopted within a PU/farm, e.g: - Number of bioinsecticides registered for cotton used - number of mechanical/cultural or biological practices			Producer	x	x	x	Annual	Practice adoption records or IPM Ladder adaptation in available countries
3.6 Pesticides	Health & Safety		Reduced exposure to pesticides' negative impacts for workers and farmers	# farmers and workers affected by poisoning incidents	# of identified poisoning cases	Delta (15) - modified	Gender, Type of incident	Farmer and worker	x			Ad-hoc	Research
4.1 Soil Health	Soil Health		Increased nitrogen use efficiency	Nitrogen use efficiency	kg lint harvested /kg of N fertiliser	Delta (5)	None	Producer	х	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators
4.2 Soil Health	Soil Health		Increased number of farmers adopt regenerative soil management practices	# and % of Better Cotton farmers who implement 3 or more locally relevant, regenerative soil management practices on their farms	Farmers who adopt regenerative soil management practices / Total number of Better Cotton Farmers		Gender	Producer	x	x	x	Annual	Practice Adoption records
4.3 Soil Health	Soil Health	Impact	Increased top soil carbon	Topsoil carbon content (SOC)	Grams of organic carbon per tonne soil/ha harvested area	Delta (4)		Producer, project, or LF	x	x	x	3-5 years	Physical soil sampling OR IPCC Tier I.
4.4 Soil Health	Soil Health	Impact	Improved soil health	# and % of BC farmers who have improved soil health as determined by other alternative indicators as selected by country teams, based on farm capacity, and supported by scientific consensus such as carbon mineralisation potential and aggregate stability (Soil Health Institute - Recommended Measurements for Scaling Soil Health Assessment)	To be determined by specific projects or country teams (e.g., improvements on soil salinity, ph)				x	x	x	TBD, depends on projects.	Specific projects. Specific projects
4.5 Soil Health	Soil Health	Outcome	Increased uptake of non- synthetic fertiliser application	% farmers applying non- synthetic fertiliser	Number of Better Cotton Farmers applying non-synthetic fertiliser / Total number of Better Cotton Farmers			Producer	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators
5.1 Sustainable Livelihoods	Economic Viability	Impact	Higher profitability (for smallholders)	Gross margin earned from cotton crop	(Income from SEED cotton harvested (including selling cotton and any type of subsidies)- variable production cost (in local currency) // Total area harvested (ha)	Delta (9)	Gender*	Producer Unit	x			Annual	Better Cotton RI and ad-hoc research to validate
5.2 Sustainable Livelihoods	Crop Productivity	Outcome	Increase in productivity	Yield lint cotton	MT lint / ha harvested area	Delta (8)	Gender*	Producer Unit	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton RI and average Ginner turnout ratio to calculate lint from seed lint i case it is not provided
5.3 Sustainable Livelihoods	Crop Productivity	Outcome	Increase in productivity	Yield seed cotton	kg seed cotton / ha harvested area		Gender*	Producer Unit	х	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators
	Price	Impact	Increase in price received by farmers, especially SH	Price at farmgate	Average price of sales. The average price can then be	Delta (10)	Gender*		x			Ad-hoc	Research
5.4 Sustainable Livelihoods			Tarmers, especially or r		compared to the global reference price (e.g. ICAC). Net income from farm derived				^				

5.6	Sustainable Livelihoods	Resilience	Impact	Smallholder farmers and workers experience strengthened resilience	Farmer and workers Resilience Score> include financial, social, and environmental dimensions	TBD - consultant currently supporting Better Cotton in defining this composite indicator	done and expected to be finalized Jmay 2024	Gender*	x			Ad-hoc	Research
		Living Income		Increase proportion of Better Cotton Farmers earn a living income	Proportion of farmers earning Living Income	Market Price for cotton, Hired workers in cotton cultivation, Cost of cotton cultivation, Net Income from Intercrop & Other Main Crops, Net Income from Livestock and Poultry, Off-farm Income (Business, Salaries, Daily wage worker), Other reported Income Sources, Living Income Benchmark for region	Better Cotton Living Income Gap Pilot report	Gender*	x			Ad-hoc	Research
	Women's Empowerment	Women's Empowerment	Impact	Increased leadership, decision making, and control of economic assets (SH context) or gender equality in workplace (LF context)	Composite Indicator >>	See detail at www.deltaframework.org	Delta (14)		x	x	x	Ad-hoc	Research.
	Women's Empowerment	Women's Inclusion	Output	Reach 1 million women in cotton (2030 Impact Target)	# women participating in Better Cotton trainings and initiatives	# women participating in Better Cotton activities		Country	x	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Programme records
	Women's Empowerment	Gender	Output	Increase of women LGs, SHGs, and FPOs	# of women LGs, SHGs, FPOs, FPCs, cooperatives supported and/or formed.	# of women LGs, SHGs, and FPOs supported and/or formed		Type of group	x			Annual	Better Cotton Programme records
	Women's Empowerment	Gender and Leadership	Output	Increased proportion of women in field staff roles	Proportion of women in field staff roles (FF, PUM, and other relevant positions)	# women in field staff roles / total # field staff		Role	x			Annual	Better Cotton Programme records
	Women's Empowerment	Gender		Women field staff more empowered	Empowerment of women field staff	See detail at www.deltaframework.org	Delta (Adapted 14)	Gender	x			Ad-hoc	Research
7.1	Decent Work	Worker Wages		Aiming for 100% coverage	Proportion of Producers paying workers a legal minimum wage or minimum wage equivalent (or above)	# of Producers paying workers at least legal minimum wage / Total # of Producers		Producer category, country		x	x	Ad-hoc	Assurance data
7.2	Decent Work	Grievance Mechanism	Outcome	Increased % of farmers & workers are aware of grievance mechanism	% of surveyed farmers & workers who are aware of accessible grievance mechanism	% of total surveyed		Gender	x	x	x	Every 3 years	Selected country survey every 3 years. Selected countries according to the size of the programme, security risks and prioritization.
7.3	Decent Work	Power & Voice	Outcome	Increased %	Percentage of interviewed workers who report understanding their fundamental labour rights			Gender, permanent workers, non- permanent	x	x	x	Every 3 years	Country survey every 3 years

7.4	Decent Work	Power & Voice		Increased %	% of surveyed farmers & workers who are engaged in a cooperative, union, or other farmer/worker organisation			Gender, farmer, worker		x	х	x	Every 3 years	Country survey every 3 year
7.5	Decent Work	Power & Voice		Positive results reported	Results/benefits of engagement in a cooperative, union, or other farmer/worker organisation	Qualitative inquiry - description of reported results or benefits		Gender		x	x	x	Every 5 years	Case studies every 5 years
8.1	Water	Water Use		Reduction in the volume of irrigation water used	Amount of water used for irrigation	Water extracted for irrigation (blue water) expressed as cubic metres per hectare of harvested land [cubic metres/ha]	Delta (3.1)			х	x	x	Annual	Better Cotton Result Indicators
8.2	Water	Water Use		Increased water use efficiency		Ratio of water actually required for irrigation over water extracted for irrigation [%]	Delta (3.2)			x	x	x	Ad-hoc	Specific projects
8.3	Water	Water Use		Decreased water required per Kg of cotton lint	Water Productivity	Vield (Kilograms of cotton lint per hectare of harvested land) per cubic metre of water consumed per hectare of harvested land [kn/m3]	Delta (3.3)			x	х	x	Ad-hoc	Specific projects
	Biodiversity	Land Use Change		Aiming for 0% conversion of natural resources for cotton production respect to cut off dates from P&C	Natural resources converted for cotton production	Number of Non-compliance (NC) from standard related to this indicator	Delta (6)			х	х	x	Annual	Better Cotton Assurance Programme
10.1	Fibre Quality	Fibre Quality	Outcome	Farmers adopting locally relevant practices realted to fibre quality	% of farmers adopting Fibre quality practices	% of farmers adopting practices			Producer	х	х	х	Annual	Better Cotton Practice Adoption Data

NO	TE	S
0		

0

PURPOSE The ultimate purpose of the Framework is twofold: to enable learning for continuous improvement and accountability by providing impact evidence to our stakeholders.

SCOPE The scope is mainly on the Farm impact pathway, plus a few existing indicators related to the Market/Value Chain impact pathway.

O How is the Delta Framework being incorporated?

- Reference to the Delta Framework indicators can be found in column G.

- Exception - Delta Indicator 12: Incidence of Child Labour - not included as Better Cotton's Decent Work team is developing different indicators more relevant to Better Cotton's Assess and Address approach.

- Exception - Delta Indicator 13: Incidence of Forced Labour - not included (same reason as #12).

- Exception - Delta Indicator 15: Incidence of on-farm fatalities and non-fatalities. Not considered feasible to measure, nor appropriate for Better Cotton at this stage.

More about the Framework:

• While not (yet) included here, MEL is conducting preparatory work for country LCAs (life cycle assessments) of Better Cotton production. Once this is ready, it can be explicitly stated in our framework to demonstrate industry-compliant. data reporting for our corporate stakeholders

When will it be implemented? We plan to take all indicators for which data is already collected forward in the 2024-25 season. Those that rely on practice adoption data will be tested in some project areas in the 2024-25 season, but systematic practice adoption data collection at scale won't be operational until the following year. Some of the research-based indicators are already in progress; those that rely on the newly proposed country survey will begin in 2024-25 or .
2025-26 season and depend on funding availability

O Financial Implications We will strive to keep additional costs, especially for partners, minimal. New costs are anticipated notably for the country survey that would focus on Decent Work and livelihoods indicators.

O Which new indicators would be applied to each country considering the priority assessments will be decided by each team and country teams.

O IMPORTANT This is a live document that will be updated as new thematic strategies are developed and new indicators are decided These indicators cover internal monitoring and public reporting (not all internal monitoring indicators will be publicitly reporting but would be used for programme planning and learning)