

# Farm Results

## BCI Farmers vs comparison farmers\*

Data from all BCI medium and large farms is collected. For smallholders, a sampling approach is used that includes the collection of data from a representative sample of Learning Groups that are randomly selected by BCI on a yearly basis at the end of the season. On occasion, data was excluded from the analysis because it was assessed to be incomplete or because no comparison data was available for a Producer Unit.

**Farm results must not be manipulated in any way. Averaging farm results across different geographies undermines the credibility of the data. If you have queries regarding how to use these results, please contact [comms@bettercotton.org](mailto:comms@bettercotton.org).**

	China 2015 - 16	India 2015 - 16	Pakistan 2015 - 16	Tajikistan 2015 - 16	Turkey 2015 - 16	Mali 2015 - 16	Mozambique 2014 - 15**	Senegal 2015 - 16
Yield	11%	9%	9%	21%	7%	4%	15%	26%
Pesticide	12%	20%	17%	23%	12%	73%	6%	0%
Synthetic Fertiliser	1%	20%	13%	1%	6%	13%	Not used by comparison farmers	11%
Organic Fertiliser	303%	8%	446%	49%	Not used by comparison farmers	Not used by comparison farmers	No organic fertiliser used	Not reported by BCI Farmers
Water	26%	20%	21%	6%	10%	Rainfed	Rainfed	6%
Profit	34%	23%	37%	65%	26%	12%	16%	64%

\* The data shown here are the most recent results available from a single season. The results compare BCI to non-BCI (comparison) farmers working in the same area. Example: In the 2015-16 season, BCI Farmers in China used 26% less water for irrigation than comparison farmers.

\*\* Due to the high proportion of Mozambican farmers who participate in BCI projects, comparison farmer data is not available from the 2015-16 Season. The most recent results, from the 2014-15 season, have been included.